hvn

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 3661 OF 2006

Shri. Vilas Vichare and anr. ... Petitioners

Versus

State of Maharashtra and Ors. ... Respondents

Ms.Neeta Karnik for Petitioner.

Mrs.M.P.Thakur, A.G.P. for R. Nos. 1 to 3.

Ms. P.S.Dani for R.No. 5.

Mr. R.S. Datar for R. No. 6.

CORAM: F.I. REBELLO &
R.M. SAVANT,JJ.
DATED: MARCH 23, 2007

P.C.:

. The order of this court dated 20.11.2006 is self explanatory. We may gainfully reproduce Para 6 which reads as under :

> "By way of clarification, we state that if the report does not make a prima facie case as against the respondent No. 6, the petition shall stand dismissed and if the report is against the respondent No. 6, the petition will have to be disposed off by directing the competent authority to act on the report. The rights and contentions of the respective parties are kept open.

. Considering the above, and considering the reports submitted, we direct the Respondent No. 1 to take action according to law after giving opportunity to all parties at any rate not latter than twelve weeks from today.

. We make it clear that the matter has not been decided on merits and all contentions are left open.

. Rule made absolute accordingly. No order as to costs.

(R.M. SAVANT,J.) **(F.I.REBELLO, J.)**